

Recall

# Algebraic terms over a signature $\Sigma$

Fix a countably infinite set  
of variables  $x, y, z, \dots$

Terms :

$$t ::= x \mid f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$$

variable

operation symbol of the  
signature of arity  $n$

N.B. when  
 $n=0$ , often  
write  $f()$   
just as  $f$

# Substitution

The substituted term  $t'[t/x]$  is defined by recursion on the structure of the term  $t'$ :

- if  $t'$  is a variable, then

$$y[t/x] = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } y=x \\ y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- if  $t'$  is a compound term, then

$$f(t'_1, \dots, t'_m)[t/x] = f(t'_1[t/x], \dots, t'_m[t/x])$$

# Simultaneous substitution

$t'[t/x]$  is a special case of  $t'[t_1/x_1, \dots, t_n/x_n]$  (where  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are distinct)

defined by:

- $y[t_1/x_1, \dots, t_n/x_n] = \begin{cases} t_i & \text{if } y = x_i \\ y & \text{if } y \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \end{cases}$
- $f(t'_1, \dots, t'_m)[t_1/x_1, \dots] = f(t'_1[t_1/x_1, \dots], \dots, t'_m[t_1/x_1, \dots])$

Recall Typing judgement  
over a signature  $\Sigma$

is inductively generated by the rules...

$$\frac{(x : S) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} x : S}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t_1 : S_1 \cdots \Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t_n : S_n \quad (f : [S_1, \dots, S_n] \rightarrow S) \in \Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) : S}$$

Lemma If  $\Gamma \vdash_\Sigma t_1 : S_1, \dots, \Gamma \vdash_\Sigma t_n : S_n$  and  
 $x_1 : S_1, \dots, x_n : S_n \vdash_\Sigma t' : S'$ , then  
 $\Gamma \vdash_\Sigma t' [t_1/x_1, \dots, t_n/x_n] : S'$

Proof by induction on the structure of  $t'$ .

Substitution Lemma For any structure for an  
alg.sig. in a cartesian category:

$$\llbracket t' [t_1/x_1, \dots, t_n/x_n] \rrbracket = \llbracket t' \rrbracket \circ \langle \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket t_n \rrbracket \rangle$$

Proof by induction on the structure of  $t'$ .

Recall

## Structures

A **structure** for an algebraic signature  $\Sigma$  in a cartesian category  $\mathcal{C}$  allows us to interpret each valid typing judgement  $\Gamma \vdash_\Sigma t : s$  as a  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphism

$$\llbracket t \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket s \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket x \rrbracket = \pi_i : S_1 \times \dots \times S_n \rightarrow S_i \quad \text{if } x = x_i$$

$$\llbracket f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rrbracket = \llbracket f \rrbracket \circ \langle \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket t_n \rrbracket \rangle$$

# Equations

over a signature  $\Sigma$

take the form  $\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t = t' : S$

where  $\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t : S$  and  $\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t' : S$ .

**Algebraic theory** =  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{algebraic signature} \\ + \\ \text{set of equations} \\ (\text{the theory's axioms}) \end{array} \right.$

E.g. alg. theory of monoids has equations

$$x : *, y : *, z : * \vdash m(x, m(y, z)) = m(m(x, y), z) : *$$

$$x : * \vdash m(u(), x) = x : *$$

$$x : * \vdash m(x, u()) = x : *$$

Example : an algebraic theory of lists

sorts :  $V$  (values)     $L$  (lists of values)

operation symbols:

$nil : [] \rightarrow L$

$cons : [V, L] \rightarrow L$

$hd : [L] \rightarrow V$

$tl : [L] \rightarrow L$

$apnd : [L, L] \rightarrow L$

Example : an algebraic theory of lists

sorts :  $V$  (values)     $L$  (lists of values)

operation symbols:

$nil : [] \rightarrow L$      $hd : [L] \rightarrow V$   
 $cons : [V, L] \rightarrow L$      $tl : [L] \rightarrow L$   
 $apnd : [L, L] \rightarrow L$

axioms :

$x : V, l : L \vdash hd(cons(x, l)) = x : V$

$x : V, l : L \vdash tl(cons(x, l)) = l : L$

$l : L \vdash apnd(nil, l) = l : L$

$x : V, l : L, l' : L \vdash apnd(cons(x, l), l') =$   
 $cons(x, apnd(l, l')) : L$

# Equational Logic

The **theorems** of an algebraic theory consist of all the equations derivable from its axioms using the following rules...

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                               |                                                                                                                          |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t : S}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t = t : S}$                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | $\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t = t' : S}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t' = t : S}$ | $\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t = t' : S \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t' = t'' : S}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t = t'' : S}$ |
| $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t_1 = t'_1 : S_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t_n = t'_n : S_n \\ x_1 : S_1, \dots, x_n : S_n \vdash_{\Sigma} t = t' : S \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t[t_1/x_1, \dots, t_n/x_n] = t'[t'_1/x_1, \dots, t'_n/x_n] : S}$ |                                                                               |                                                                                                                          |

# Satisfaction

A structure in a cartesian category for an algebraic signature **satisfies** an equation  $\Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} t = t' : S$  if

$$\llbracket t \rrbracket = \llbracket t' \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket S \rrbracket$$

Soundness Theorem If a structure satisfies all the axioms of an algebraic theory, then it satisfies all its theorems

Proof Just have to check that satisfaction is closed under the rules of equational logic  
- for the substitution rule, use the substitution lemma.

# Algebras

An **algebra** for an algebraic theory  $\mathbb{T}$  in a cartesian category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a structure that satisfies all the axioms of  $\mathbb{T}$

[There's a category of  $\mathbb{T}$ -algebras in  $\mathcal{C}$ , the morphism of which are **algebra homomorphisms** (definition omitted). ]

The internal language  
of a Cartesian category  $\mathbb{C}$   
is the algebraic signature with

- one sort for each  $\mathbb{C}$ -object
- one operation symbol  $f: [X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow X$   
for each non-empty list  $[X_1, \dots, X_n, X]$  of  
 $\mathbb{C}$ -objects and each  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism  
 $f: X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \rightarrow X$

The internal language  
of a Cartesian category  $\mathbb{C}$   
is the algebraic signature with

- one sort for each  $\mathbb{C}$ -object
- one operation symbol  $f: [x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow x$   
for each non-empty list  $[x_1, \dots, x_n, x]$  of  
 $\mathbb{C}$ -objects and each  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism  
 $f: x_1 \times \dots \times x_n \rightarrow x$

→ there's a structure  
in  $\mathbb{C}$  for this signature  
namely  $\begin{cases} \llbracket x \rrbracket = x \\ \llbracket f \rrbracket = f \end{cases}$

Terms & equations over this signature  
allow us to describe properties in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  
for example...

# Proposition

(1)  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is a terminal object in  $\mathcal{C}$  iff there is some  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphism  $t: 1 \rightarrow X$  satisfying (in the internal lang. of  $\mathcal{C}$ )

the given terminal object of  $\mathcal{C}$

$$x : X \vdash x = t() : X$$

"T has just one element"

(2)  $X \xleftarrow{p} Z \xrightarrow{q} Y$  is a product in  $\mathcal{C}$  iff there is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphism  $r: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  satisfying

$$x : X, y : Y \vdash p(r(x, y)) = x : X$$

$$x : X, y : Y \vdash q(r(x, y)) = y : Y$$

$$z : Z \vdash z = r(p(z), q(z)) : Z$$

# Theories as categories

From an algebraic theory  $\mathbb{T}$ , can construct a cartesian category  $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{T}}$ :

- objects are typing contexts  $\Gamma = x_1:S_1, \dots, x_n:S_n$
- morphisms  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$  are equivalence classes  $[t'_1, \dots, t'_m]$  where  $\Gamma' = x'_1:S'_1, \dots, x'_m:S'_m$  &  $\Gamma \vdash t'_i : S'_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ) for equiv. relation given by theorem of  $\mathbb{T}$
- composition given by substitution, identities given by variables

# Theories as categories

From an algebraic theory  $\mathbb{T}$ , can construct a cartesian category  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}$  — see Section 4.2 of [Pitts, Categorical Logic].

There's a structure for  $\mathbb{T}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}$  that satisfies all its axioms

and  $\Gamma \vdash t = t' : S$  is a theorem of  $\mathbb{T}$  iff it's satisfied by this structure.

[Hence cartesian categories are complete for]  
[equational logic]