Lecture 8

Given a cartesian closed category C, given any function M mapping

▶ ground types G to C-objects M(G) (which extends to a function mapping all types to objects, $A \mapsto M[A]$, as we have seen)

Given a cartesian closed category \mathbb{C} , given any function M mapping

- ightharpoonup ground types G to C-objects M(G)
- ► constants c^A to C-morphisms $M(c^A): 1 \to M[A]$ (In a category with a terminal object 1, given an object $X \in C$, morphisms $1 \to X$ are sometimes called global elements of X.)

Given a cartesian closed category \mathbb{C} , given any function M mapping

- ightharpoonup ground types G to C-objects M(G)
- rightharpoonup constants c^A to C-morphisms $M(c^A): 1 \to M[A]$

we get a function mapping provable instances of the typing relation $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ to C-morphisms

$$M[\![\Gamma \vdash t : A]\!] : M[\![\Gamma]\!] \to M[\![A]\!]$$

defined by recursing over the proof of $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ from the typing rules (which follows the structure of t):

Variables:

$$M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A] = M[\Gamma] \times M[A] \xrightarrow{\pi_2} M[A]$$
$$M[\Gamma, x' : A' \vdash x : A] =$$

$$M[\![\Gamma]\!] \times M[\![A']\!] \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M[\![\Gamma]\!] \xrightarrow{M[\![\Gamma \vdash x:A]\!]} M[\![A]\!]$$

Constants:

$$M[\Gamma \vdash c^A : A] = M[\Gamma] \xrightarrow{\langle \rangle} 1 \xrightarrow{M(c^A)} M[A]$$

Unit value:

$$M[\Gamma \vdash () : \mathtt{unit}] = M[\Gamma] \xrightarrow{\langle \rangle} 1$$

Pairing:

$$M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] = M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] = M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] + M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] + M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B]$$

Projections:

$$M[\![\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{fst} \ t : A]\!] = \\ M[\![\Gamma \vdash t : A \times B]\!] \xrightarrow{M[\![\Gamma \vdash t : A \times B]\!]} M[\![A]\!] \times M[\![B]\!] \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M[\![A]\!]$$

Pairing:

$$M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] = M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] = M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] + M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] + M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B]$$

Projections:

$$M[\![\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{fst}\, t : A]\!] =$$

Given that $\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fst} t : A$ holds, there is a unique type Bsuch that $\Gamma \vdash t : A \times B$ already holds.

$$M[\![\Gamma]\!] \xrightarrow{M[\![\Gamma \vdash t : A \times B]\!]} M[\![A]\!] \times M[\![B]\!] \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M[\![A]\!]$$

Lemma. If $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ and $\Gamma \vdash t : B$ are provable, then A = B.

Pairing:

$$M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] = M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] = M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B] + M[\Gamma \vdash (s, t) : A \times B]$$

Projections:

$$M[\![\Gamma \vdash \operatorname{snd} t : B]\!] = \\ M[\![\Gamma]\!] \xrightarrow{M[\![\Gamma \vdash t : A \times B]\!]} M[\![A]\!] \times M[\![B]\!] \xrightarrow{\pi_2} M[\![B]\!]$$

(As for the case of fst, if $\Gamma \vdash \operatorname{snd} t : B$, then $\Gamma \vdash t : A \times B$ already holds for a unique type A.)

Function abstraction:

$$M[\![\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A.t : A \Rightarrow B]\!] =$$

$$\operatorname{cur} f : M[\![\Gamma]\!] \to (M[\![A]\!] \to M[\![B]\!])$$

where

$$f = M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B] : M[\Gamma] \times M[A] \longrightarrow M[B]$$

81

Function application:

$$M[\Gamma \vdash s \ t : B] =$$

$$M[\Gamma] \xrightarrow{\langle f, g \rangle} (M[A] \to M[B]) \times M[A] \xrightarrow{\text{app}} M[B]$$

where

```
A = \text{unique type such that } \Gamma \vdash s : A \rightarrow B \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t : A already holds (exists because \Gamma \vdash s \ t : B \text{ holds})
f = M[\Gamma \vdash s : A \rightarrow B] : M[\Gamma] \rightarrow (M[A] \rightarrow M[B])
g = M[\Gamma \vdash t : A] : M[\Gamma] \rightarrow M[A]
```

Example

```
Consider t \triangleq \lambda x : A. g(f x) so that \Gamma \vdash t : A \Rightarrow C when
\Gamma \triangleq \Diamond, f : A \rightarrow B, q : B \rightarrow C.
Suppose M[A] = X, M[B] = Y and M[C] = Z in C. Then
                                               M[\Gamma] = (1 \times Y^X) \times Z^Y
                                    M[\Gamma, x : A] = ((1 \times Y^X) \times Z^Y) \times X
                      M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A] = \pi_2
             M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash q : B \Rightarrow C] = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1
             M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash f : A \rightarrow B] = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_1
                   M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash f x : B] = \operatorname{app} \circ \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle
            M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash q(f x) : C] = \operatorname{app} \circ \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1, \operatorname{app} \circ \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle \rangle
                         M[\Gamma \vdash t : A \Rightarrow C] = \operatorname{cur}(\operatorname{app} \circ \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1, \operatorname{app} \circ \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle))
```

STLC equations

take the form $\Gamma \vdash s = t : A$ where $\Gamma \vdash s : A$ and $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ are provable.

Such an equation is satisfied by the semantics in a ccc if $M[\Gamma \vdash s : A]$ and $M[\Gamma \vdash t : A]$ are equal C-morphisms $M[\Gamma] \to M[A]$.

Qu: which equations are always satisfied in any ccc?

STLC equations

take the form $\Gamma \vdash s = t : A$ where $\Gamma \vdash s : A$ and $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ are provable.

Such an equation is satisfied by the semantics in a ccc if $M[\Gamma \vdash s : A]$ and $M[\Gamma \vdash t : A]$ are equal C-morphisms $M[\Gamma] \to M[A]$.

Qu: which equations are always satisfied in any ccc?

Ans: $(\alpha)\beta\eta$ -equivalence — to define this, first have to define alpha-equivalence, substitution and its semantics.

The names of λ -bound variables should not affect meaning.

E.g. $\lambda f: A \rightarrow B$. $\lambda x: A$. fx should have the same meaning as $\lambda x: A \rightarrow B$. $\lambda y: A$. xy

The names of λ -bound variables should not affect meaning.

E.g. $\lambda f: A \rightarrow B$. $\lambda x: A$. fx should have the same meaning as $\lambda x: A \rightarrow B$. $\lambda y: A$. xy

This issue is best dealt with at the level of syntax rather than semantics: from now on we re-define "STLC term" to mean not an abstract syntax tree (generated as described before), but rather an equivalence class of such trees with respect to alpha-equivalence s = t, defined as follows...

(Alternatively, one can use a "nameless" (de Bruijn) representation of terms.)

$$\overline{c^A} =_{\alpha} c^A$$

$$\overline{x} =_{\alpha} x$$

$$\overline{()} =_{\alpha} ()$$

$$\frac{s =_{\alpha} s' \qquad t =_{\alpha} t'}{(s, t) =_{\alpha} (s', t')}$$

$$\frac{t =_{\alpha} t'}{\operatorname{fst} t =_{\alpha} \operatorname{fst} t'}$$

$$\frac{t =_{\alpha} t'}{\operatorname{snd} t =_{\alpha} \operatorname{snd} t'}$$

$$\frac{s =_{\alpha} s' \qquad t =_{\alpha} t'}{s t =_{\alpha} s' t'}$$

$$\frac{(y \ x) \cdot t =_{\alpha} (y \ x') \cdot t'}{\lambda x : A. \ t =_{\alpha} \lambda x' : A. \ t'}$$

$$\frac{c^{A} =_{\alpha} c^{A}}{c^{A}} \begin{bmatrix} x =_{\alpha} x \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} s =_{\alpha} s' & t =_{\alpha} t' \\ (s,t) =_{\alpha} (s',t') \end{bmatrix}}_{(s,t) =_{\alpha} (s',t')} \underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} t =_{\alpha} t' \\ \text{fst } t =_{\alpha} \text{ fst } t' \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{fst } t =_{\alpha} \text{ fst } t'}$$

$$\frac{t =_{\alpha} t'}{\text{snd } t =_{\alpha} \text{ snd } t'} \underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} s =_{\alpha} s' & t =_{\alpha} t' \\ s t =_{\alpha} s't' \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{st } t =_{\alpha} s't'}$$

$$\frac{(y x) \cdot t =_{\alpha} (y x') \cdot t' \quad y \text{ does not occur in } \{x, x', t, t'\}}{\lambda x : A. t =_{\alpha} \lambda x' : A. t'}$$

$$\frac{\text{result of replacing all occurrences of } x \text{ with } y \text{ in } t}$$

$$\frac{c^{A} =_{\alpha} c^{A}}{c^{A}} \boxed{\frac{x =_{\alpha} x}{() =_{\alpha} ()}} \boxed{\frac{s =_{\alpha} s' \qquad t =_{\alpha} t'}{(s,t) =_{\alpha} (s',t')}} \boxed{\frac{t =_{\alpha} t'}{\text{fst } t =_{\alpha} \text{ fst } t'}}$$

$$\frac{t =_{\alpha} t'}{\text{snd } t =_{\alpha} \text{ snd } t'} \boxed{\frac{s =_{\alpha} s' \qquad t =_{\alpha} t'}{s t =_{\alpha} s' t'}}$$

$$\frac{(y x) \cdot t =_{\alpha} (y x') \cdot t' \qquad y \text{ does not occur in } \{x, x', t, t'\}}{\lambda x : A. t =_{\alpha} \lambda x' : A. t'}$$

E.g.

 $\lambda x : A. \ x \ x =_{\alpha} \lambda y : A. \ y \ y \neq_{\alpha} \lambda x : A. \ x \ y$ $(\lambda y : A. \ y) \ x =_{\alpha} (\lambda x : A. \ x) \ x \neq_{\alpha} (\lambda x : A. \ x) \ y$

Substitution

t[s/x] = result of replacing all free occurrences of variable x in term t (i.e. those not occurring within the scope of a $\lambda x : A$._ binder) by the term s, alpha-converting λ -bound variables in t to avoid them "capturing" any free variables of t.

E.g. $(\lambda y : A.(y, x))[y/x]$ is $\lambda z : A.(z, y)$ and is not $\lambda y : A.(y, y)$

Substitution

t[s/x] = result of replacing all free occurrences of variable x in term t (i.e. those not occurring within the scope of a $\lambda x : A$._ binder) by the term s, alpha-converting λ -bound variables in t to avoid them "capturing" any free variables of t.

```
E.g. (\lambda y : A.(y, x))[y/x] is \lambda z : A.(z, y) and is not \lambda y : A.(y, y)
```

The relation t[s/x] = t' can be inductively defined by the following rules...

Substitution

$$\frac{c^{A}[s/x] = c^{A}}{c^{A}[s/x] = c^{A}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{y \neq x}{y[s/x] = y} \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{()[s/x] = ()}$$

$$\frac{t_{1}[s/x] = t'_{1}}{(t_{1}, t_{2})[s/x] = (t'_{1}, t'_{2})} \begin{bmatrix} t[s/x] = t' \\ (fst t)[s/x] = fst t' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{t[s/x] = t'}{(snd t)[s/x] = snd t'} \begin{bmatrix} t_{1}[s/x] = t'_{1} & t_{2}[s/x] = t'_{2} \\ (t_{1} t_{2})[s/x] = t'_{1} t'_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{t[s/x] = t'}{(snd t)[s/x] = snd t'} \underbrace{v \neq x \text{ and } y \text{ does not occur in } s}$$

$$\frac{(\lambda y : A. t)[s/x] = \lambda y : A. t'}{(\lambda y : A. t)}$$

Semantics of substitution in a ccc

Substitution Lemma If $\Gamma \vdash s : A$ and $\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B$ are provable, then so is $\Gamma \vdash t[s/x] : B$.

Substitution Theorem If $\Gamma \vdash s : A$ and $\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B$ are provable, then in any ccc the following diagram commutes:

