

11 Optimising Compilers (AM)

- (a) Explain the ideas behind *available expression analysis*. Your explanation should include data-flow equations, an informal argument as to why these correctly capture a semantic notion of availability, any issues with decidability and an algorithm to solve the data-flow equations. It is sufficient to consider, as candidate available expressions, those expressions of the form $v \oplus v'$ where \oplus is a binary operation and v and v' are variables or constants. [6 marks]
- (b) Show how the result of available expression analysis can be used to perform *common sub-expression elimination*. You need not give an algorithm, but explain the steps in the optimisation carefully. [4 marks]
- (c) Assume that your intermediate code is represented by three-address instructions stored within basic blocks, and with a fresh temporary used whenever a temporary variable is used to hold intermediate results of a larger expression. Explain how your algorithm deals with optimising the program fragment

```
u = f(a+b*c, a+b*c);
v[a+b*c] = u;
```

where a is a global variable which may be updated by f , and b and c are local variables. [5 marks]

- (d) Explain carefully how your common sub-expression elimination algorithm reacts to program fragment:

```
do { x += b*c; ... } while (...);
```

and also to program fragment:

```
z = b*c; do { x += b*c; ... } while (...);
```

commenting on any differences and on any similarity to lifting a loop-invariant expression out from a loop. In both cases assume neither b nor c is modified anywhere in the loop. [5 marks]